


## EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCES INFLUENCE ON CONFRONTATION RESOLVING SKILLS OF PRINCIPALS AT SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION

**Shandru Mariyadas<sup>1</sup>, AR. Saravanakumar<sup>2</sup>**

*Departement of Education, DDE, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India*

<sup>1</sup> *chandrumariyadas@yahoo.com*   <sup>2</sup> *skumarar@alagappauniversity.ac.in*

<b>PAPER INFO</b>	<b>ABSTRACT</b>
<p><b>Received:</b> January 2023</p> <p><b>Revised:</b> February 2023</p> <p><b>Approved:</b> February 2023</p>	<p><b>Background:</b> School consists of complex network of social relations, built and maintained by bridging the gap between significant behavioral modifications. The quality of these relations defines the organizational culture. Thus, administrative atmosphere depends upon social skills as communication, attitude and response of their co-worker.</p> <p><b>Aim:</b> The purpose of this study was to observe the relationship between school principal's emotional intelligence and confrontational resolving skills.</p> <p><b>Method:</b> Partial correlation was conducted to survey the degree of relationships between principal's emotional intelligence and confrontational resolving skills for the effect of school as an administration, Data analysis was utilized to examine the combined effect of principal's emotional intelligence quotient and confrontational resolving skills on principal's achievement. The study population consisted of 72 school principals and 732 teachers within Batticaloa District schools in Sri Lanka. Quantitative data were collected and openly available consistent test pass percentage data.</p> <p><b>Findings:</b> The data examination using SPSS and MS Excel showed that the principals have a very high or a high emotional intelligence and they generally use collaborating style of conflict resolution. Emotional Intelligence (EI) and conflict resolution styles (CRS) are 75% related with each other.</p>
<p><b>KEYWORDS</b></p>	<p><i>emotional intelligence, confrontation resolving skills, school administration</i></p>
	<p>© The author(s). This work is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (<b>CC BY-SA 4.0</b>)</p>

### INTRODUCTION

Today's workplace is active in nature and is considered by time deadlines, cross social teams, work pressures, and work-family conflicts, which in turn result in a highly strained workforce. Earlier, academic qualifications, job related know-how and knowledgeable aptitudes were the measures used to assess the staff. Emotions had no place in the administrative context and were considered as counter-industrious (Krishnaveni & Deepa, 2011). However, many researchers that have concentrated on emotion in the workplaces have stressed that school administrations are "emotional places," it always motivates doubt and commotion. Thus, school seeks to have principal that is more emotionally intelligent (Chen & Guo, 2020; Khalili, 2012; Wirawan et al., 2019). According to statistics provided by the Centre for Creative Leadership, 27% of the individual working in schools administration displays poor emotional intelligence, strong-minded many organizations to launch so-called awareness-training programs meant to draw attention that no matter how professionally skilled the principal might be, their ineffective school administration behaviour has a negative impact on organizational performance (Dumbravă, 2011).

School consists of complex network of social relations, built and maintained by bridging the gap between significant behavioral modifications (Henderson & Cunningham, 2023; Ikeda et al., 2019). The quality of these relations defines the organizational culture. Thus, administrative atmosphere depends upon social skills as communication, attitude and response of their co-worker. Ability of an individual to deal with other makes modification between productive and uncreative workplace (Dumbravă, 2011; Rivai et al., 2019; Salman & Auso, 2022). The skills revealed above are referred to with the common term Emotional Intelligence, which is defined as the ability to monitor one's own and other's feelings, to classify among them, and to use this information to guide one's thinking and action (Kanesan & Fauzan, 2019; Salovey & Grewal, 2005; Shooshtarian et al., 2013). Emotional Intelligence brings together the fields of emotions and intelligence by observing emotions as suitable bases of information that help one to make sense of and navigate the public school environment (Gómez-Leal et al., 2022; Mejbri et al., 2022; Salovey & Grewal, 2005).

Thomas-Kilmann (2008) model outlines conflict resolution styles on two measurements. It means concern of people for their individual goals, needs and agendas and cooperativeness: it means concern of people towards other's needs and common relations. The conflict resolution styles are competing style (When assertiveness is high and cooperativeness is low, people practice competing style. These sources an outcome of win-lose), avoiding style (When assertiveness is low and cooperativeness is also low, people practice avoiding style), accommodating style (When assertiveness is low and cooperativeness is high, people exercise accommodating style), collaborating style (When both assertiveness and cooperativeness is high, people practice collaborating style), and compromising style (When assertiveness and cooperativeness is neither high nor low, it is average, people practice accommodating style).

Based on the researcher's own survey on an online academic platform, researchers rarely discuss this topic despite it being sentimental, as implied by Panda and Banik (2020). One of the most similar topics discussed is in the research by Valente and Lourenço (2020), in which they stated that teachers with higher levels of emotional intelligence tend to apply compromising and integrating strategies for conflict management, specifically in the classroom. On another occasion, Skordoulis et al. (2020) revealed that EI directly affects conflict resolution while on the contrary, a position of responsibility does not significantly affect conflict resolution skill. The aforementioned research, however, have not specifically given a clear glimpse of what school managers, especially principals as the most responsible person at school, should do to solve problems at school. This point is what ignites the researchers' curiosity.

Therefore, the researchers would like to conduct some research mainly focusing on the application EI on school principals' conflict or confrontation resolving. The researchers hope that this study can contribute to more implication of resolving skills at school since school can be a place where numerous problems occur and each of them needs an immediate solution.

## **METHOD**

The research used the qualitative approach. It included all principals of schools located in the district of Batticaloa. The Batticaloa district is located in Eastern province of Sri Lanka. Since the entire size of our research area was 358 schools, the researcher decided to include the entire district area in our study. The researcher discovered features of principal's conflict

management style that were evident in high performing schools and required to discover the characteristics of principal’s peaceful management ways. Therefore 72 schools from the total number of 358 for five by one scales (5:1) all type of schools were being selected from the research area by Random Sampling Method where Principals have selected as the respondents of primary data collection to fill up the Questionnaire and face to face interview and also, secondary data (Documentary) would be utilized to ensure the primary data.


**Table 1.** Data Collection and Analysis

<b>Educational Zone Batticaloa District</b>	<b>Total School</b>	<b>Selected Schools 5:1</b>	<b>Selected Principals</b>	<b>Total School Teachers</b>	<b>Selected Teacher 10:1</b>
Batticaloa zone	64	13	13	1861	186
Batticaloa central zone	72	15	15	1856	185
Batticaloa west zone	66	13	13	867	86
Paddiruppu zone	71	14	14	1419	141
Kalkudah zone	85	17	17	1340	134
Total	358	72	72	7343	732

*Source: Zonal Education Office, Batticaloa (2022)*

**Note:** Primary source: Questionnaires were used to collect information from school staff and principals about their conflict resolution style and emotional intelligence. Some principals were also talk to find out the major details of conflict.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**



**Figure 1.** Data Analysis and Interpretation

The school principals have a very high or a high emotional intelligence and hence they generally use according to the figure of collaborating method of conflict resolving. Other than this they use accommodating and avoiding method according to the figure and infrequently use compromising and competing style of conflict management (Bohlander, 2010; Jazeel & Saravanakumar, 2016; Savarnakumar, 2008). The negative correlation between emotional intelligence and competing style of conflict management displays that when the level of Emotional intelligent of principal is high, they use less of competing style while manage confrontation. This means there is high alertness among principals about their emotions and of emotions of teachers and non-academic staff in school administration; they show less of shark

method behaviour. The positive correlation between emotional intelligence and collaborating style of conflict management displays that when the level of EI of principal is high, they use more of collaborating style while handling conflicts (Jazeel & Saravanakumar, 2016; Saravanakumar & Devi, 2020; Savarnakumar, 2008).

## **CONCLUSION**

The research results recommend that school principals are emotionally intelligent. They display collaborating style of conflict management in day to day managing of conflicts. They are aware of the moods of teachers and non-academic staff everywhere them and of their own approaches too, they are authorities in observing, managing and controlling emotions. There are less possibilities of critical conflict due to high emotional intelligence of its teachers and non-academic staff. The reason could be that since these staff belongs to school administration sector, school staff has security of occupation. They are not unconfident about their performance in relation to other staff and competition between colleagues is less so the principals see each other as friends. Additional reason of this positive behaviour of principals could be that the strategies of school administration do not change regularly so staff does not have to adjust themselves to the modifications again and again. The stress is therefore less and principals understand different standpoints of teachers and non-academic staff.

The results sparks some suggestion, especially for future research. The researchers expect future research to delve deeper into how emotional intelligence affects different aspects of leadership, especially at school, since school holds possibilities of various issues occurrence. The researchers also expect that the results shown can be a reference for school principals in implementing proper conflict resolving at school.

## **REFERENCES**

- Bohlander, K. M. H. (2010). Predictor variables of constructive and destructive conflict behavior. *Allied Academies International Conference. Academy of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict. Proceedings, 15(2)*.
- Chen, J., & Guo, W. (2020). Emotional intelligence can make a difference: The impact of principals' emotional intelligence on teaching strategy mediated by instructional leadership. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership, 48(1)*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143218781066>
- Dumbravă, G. (2011). Workplace relations and emotional intelligence. *Annals of the University of Petroșani Economics, 11(3)*.
- Gómez-Leal, R., Holzer, A. A., Bradley, C., Fernández-Berrocal, P., & Patti, J. (2022). The relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership in school leaders: a systematic review. In *Cambridge Journal of Education* (Vol. 52, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2021.1927987>
- Henderson, R. W., & Cunningham, L. (2023). Creating interactive sociocultural environments for self-regulated learning. In *Self-regulation of learning and performance* (pp. 255–281). Routledge.
- Ikeda, E., Hinckson, E., Witten, K., & Smith, M. (2019). Assessment of direct and indirect associations between children active school travel and environmental, household and

- child factors using structural equation modelling. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-019-0794-5>
- Jazeel, A. M., & Saravanakumar, A. R. (2016). Role of ICT in transforming Sri Lankan higher education. *International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET)*, 3(20).
- Kanesan, P., & Fauzan, N. (2019). Models of emotional intelligence: A review. *E-Bangi*, 16, 1–9.
- Khalili, A. (2012). The role of emotional intelligence in the workplace: A literature review. *International Journal of Psychological Studies*, 4(3).
- Krishnaveni, R., & Deepa, R. (2011). Emotional intelligence : A soft tool for competitive advantage in the organizational context. *IUP Journal of Soft Skills*, 5(2).
- Mejbri, N., Essalmi, F., Jemni, M., & Alyoubi, B. A. (2022). Trends in the use of affective computing in e-learning environments. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10769-9>
- Panda, S. S., & Banik, K. (2020). Women leadership: Use emotional intelligence to transform the culture of an organization. *Srusti Management Review*, 13(1).
- Rivai, N., Masdupi, E., & Syahrizal, S. (2019). Effect of emotional intelligence, work environment, and work stress on work productivity. *2nd Padang International Conference on Education, Economics, Business and Accounting (PICEEBA-2 2018)*, 218–226. <https://doi.org/10.2991/piceeba2-18.2019.29>
- Salman, D. A., & Auso, K. A. (2022). The sequential influence of creative leadership and organizational environment on strategic performance. *Journal of Environmental & Public Health*.
- Salovey, P., & Grewal, D. (2005). The science of emotional intelligence. In *Current Directions in Psychological Science* (Vol. 14, Issue 6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00381.x>
- Saravanakumar, A. R., & Devi, K. R. P. (2020). Indian higher education: Issues and opportunities. In *Journal of Critical Reviews* (Vol. 7, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.31838/jcr.07.02.101>
- Savarnakumar, A. R. (2008). Effectiveness of motivational strategies on enhancing academic achievement. *Journal of Research and Reflections on Education*, 16(2).
- Shooshtarian, Z., Ameli, F., & Aminilari, M. (2013). The effect of labor's emotional intelligence on their job satisfaction, job performance and commitment. *Iranian Journal of Management Studies (IJMS) Iranian Journal of Management Studies*, 6(1).
- Skordoulis, M., Liagkis, M. K., Sidiropoulos, G., & Drosos, D. (2020). Emotional intelligence and workplace conflict resolution: The case of secondary education teachers in greece. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijres.v6i4.1224>
- Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (2008). *Thomas-Kilmann conflict mode instrument*.
- Valente, S., & Lourenço, A. A. (2020). Conflict in the classroom: How teachers' emotional intelligence influences conflict management. *Frontiers in Education*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2020.00005>

Wirawan, H., Tamar, M., & Bellani, E. (2019). Principals' leadership styles: the role of emotional intelligence and achievement motivation. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 33(5). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-04-2018-0127>